



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/661,977	09/11/2003	Benjamin L. Viglianti	180/157/2/2	8988
25297 7590 11/02/2009 JENKINS, WILSON, TAYLOR & HUNT, P. A. Suite 1200 UNIVERSITY TOWER 3100 TOWER BLVD., DURHAM, NC 27707				
EXAMINER				
CHAO, ELMER M				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3737				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
11/02/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/661,977 Examiner ELMER CHAO	Applicant(s) VIGLIANTI ET AL. Art Unit 3737
---	---	--

All Participants:

(1) ELMER CHAO

(2) Aries A. Taylor

Date of Interview: 28 October 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
Rejections involving Lang et al. (WO 98/44910)

Claims discussed:
8, 19, 29, 42, 43, 44, and 45

Prior art documents discussed:
Lang et al. (WO 98/44910)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Examiner proposed cancelling 19, 43, and all claims dependent from because it is the Office's opinion that the claims are still taught by the prior art. Examiner also suggested that claim 45 be amended to include the details of the "monitoring" from claim 8.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: 120/Notice of Appeal Filed

(3) Leon Leglider

(4) Ben Viglianti; K. Gopalaarishna; Eric Wagner

Time: 4:00

/BRIAN CASLER/
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3737
 /Elmer Chao/
 Examiner, Art Unit 3737

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)